

Alan Watt
"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#252)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Feb. 9, 2009:

Public Persuasion, Ain't It Amazin':

"Soaring on Wings, Propaganda, You Find,
Is Sponged Up by Osmosis into Your Mind,
Globalism, Environment, Neuroscience, Genetics,
While Eugenics is Softened by Word 'Bioethics,'
Through Education, Repetition, All This Attainable,
So the Elite in Near Future have World Sustainable,
For Their Children the Products of Master Class,
Playing Over the Vanquished Under the Grass,
Enhanced Servants to Serve, Chipped and Undaunted,
Unable to Rebel if Even They Wanted,
A Manipulator to Children, the Grinning Al Gore
States, 'On Global Warming, Children Know More' "

© Alan Watt Feb. 9, 2009

[See bottom of page for links.]

Monday February 9th 2009

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 9, 2009 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, *INTERNATIONAL* postal money orders / for Canada, *INTERNAL* postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on February the 9th 2009.

For newcomers, look into www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com and download as many of the previous shows I've done, in the past, over the years, as you wish. I try to give you short-cuts to the big picture and get us above all the small bickering at the bottom; and there's also a lot of misleading information too, that keeps us trapped for years sometimes, in different tunnels and that's what it is, it's a world full of tunnels of information. There are some people who are experts in particular tunnels and it's quite good too, when you talk to them, because they know every part of it, in one particular area, and they can talk forever, with details and data, but we have to get them all together, to come out the tunnels and combine all this information, to get the big-big picture and I try to do that as well, on these talks.

You can download as many of the previous talks I've given in the past as you wish at www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com and also look into www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu for transcripts, which you can download and print up, and they're written in the various languages of Europe.

You can also support me and keep me going, by buying my books and materials on my website www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com or you can donate as well and that's also on the website as how to do that. That keeps me going, because for all the people that listen, it's quite astonishing, there's only maybe a dozen people really that contribute to any sort of regularity at all.

This is the state of the world we're in today, we're going through massive changes, changes that have never been seen, on this scale, before. Well, some people have likened it to, some of the professors and the Brzezinski types and others, they've likened this change that's coming up as to the massive change that happened at the beginning of the Industrial Revolution, when millions of people were simply uprooted from their homes in the country and put into the cities, to work for the factories; and that was happening all over Europe, pretty well at the same time, in most parts. That's what it's akin to, because we're going into new world order that's been planned for well over a century, it's actually centuries in the making; and it's never changed its goal of what kind of world order it wants. It's run by science at the top, actually above them, of course, are the moneyed boys, as always, but those moneyed boys didn't simply start off as bankers, they were part of an organisation which has funded all other organisations, especially revolutionary causes, because it was all part of the big system; they had to become masters over the masters of the world, as Pike and others have said. That means you gain money by every means possible, massive amounts of wealth and then they set up foundations and front groups and they've basically achieved their goal.

In fact, they achieved their goal before we were born, in a sense, because they had already set up the United Nations, to help bring in this whole system and as Carroll Quigley said, who belonged to this organisation, Professor Carroll Quigley, he said: that the right-wingers often think it's the left-wing, because it seems to have all these communistic traits, and he's quite correct on that. It's to be a really socialised, managed, planned society for the whole planet, ultimately; and even then it doesn't stop, because up to the year 2050, they want to drastically reduce the populations of the world, down to what they claim is a manageable level and then the types who will survive will simply be cloned types probably, genetically-enhanced, where all the possibilities of being an individual will be removed from

you; and you'll be genetically-enhanced, to serve your masters, who will remain wild and free, those boys at the top and their descendents. Back in a moment - after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix and, as I say, it's quite the journey, because there's so much of it, there's so many rooms in the Matrix and some of the rooms are simply centuries and what went on in the past; and you can't understand the present or what's really happening, unless you know the past. Many people today are too impatient to learn the past, they learn in bits and bytes, as they rush through on the Internet from site to site to site, a little bit here, a byte there and they're always in confusion and they're also prey to those who lead them off astray; that's a fact, I've talked to many of them. However, when you go into the past and you find out how long this has been in the planning, it's never changed; it's always to do with a socialised type of society, it's all about power and control. Not just control of people, or reducing people to peasants even, it's control of the minds of the people: every individual mind will be under total domination. Then, those at the top, truly can say that they are gods, because there's no opposition against them whatsoever, they will have the fate or the authority for life or death over everyone. It's coming to that very quickly now, to do with the creeping in now, by governments, in official publications, I read some last week from Britain, where they are going to limit families; now, eventually that will be mandatory and China, of course, is the model state for the world, with their one child family policy.

Even that won't stop, because whatever they do and put on the books, in legal terms, it's always expanded upon, always. It's just to get it on the books and we sit back and say it's not as bad as we thought it was, it's only 'this' and then next year, of course, they expand it. It's like the word terrorism, it starts off supposedly with a caveman over in Afghanistan, hiding out, and the next thing you know, we're all terrorists or we've all got the possibility, the potential to be terrorist, so we've got to be surveyed and watched all the time. It's all a con; everything is a con, to get laws on the books, because this is a world war and it's a war on the entire world and everyone in it, by, again, organisations. Many of them are simply fronts, many of the foundations themselves are fronts, founded by a handful of foundations that have the real money but it gives the appearance of hundreds and hundreds of them.

Really, there are very few people in charge of this whole world scenario. There are maybe thousands upon thousands of workers for them, such as the Council on Foreign Relations and the Royal Institute of International Affairs; but, really, there are only a few people at the top. There's no doubt whatsoever that it's also inter-generational, you cannot get away from that fact, that inter-generational planning, by a few families is carried on; and the son takes over from the father, with a religious fervour and that's the key to it: it's more than just some one-generational fanatical crazy idea that someone's got. This is inter-generational; you can't pass on that kind of fanaticism unless it's a religion, of a sort. That's where you see it, that's where you see people who never retire, because they still have that 'fire', as they call it, at the top.

We can even go back into the French revolution, to see some traits of what's coming up in the future here, and across the world, because the French revolution

wasn't just about a middle-class uprising, it wasn't just about the fact that Freemasons, basically, were leading the charge, at least in the early days of it. And the way they set up their parliament system, with their council of elders, their 'mountain' they called it, after the pyramid of course. It was also to do with the depopulation programmes that they carried out in the rural areas, across France at that time, where they loaded people on boats in rivers, and around the sea coast areas too, and they simply blew them up, at sea, or in the river and sunk them, because they said there were too many peasants living on the land. They wanted, again, this managed fixed proportion per acre; they didn't need too many people.

Here we are today, with the United Nations Department of Population, which is population control, of course, coming to the forefront, as it was deemed to be, because many people, including Aldous Huxley and Julian Huxley, who worked for them through UNESCO, talked about it as coming to its prominent place, that it was predestined to come to and there's articles in mainstream media to do with the necessity of drastically-reducing the populations.

I've gone through the history, in the past little while, of the Council on Foreign Relations, the Royal Institute of International Affairs and many of the people who worked for them; and pretty-well everybody I've mentioned so far has been a member of them, including the Huxleys and all the rest of them. Once again, even with the Huxleys, we can go back to Sir Thomas Huxley, the friend of Charles Darwin, who championed the Darwinian cause for evolution. How come each one of them, right down the line, has been a fanatic for the same agenda? Absolute fanatic. Generally, in families, you know, if the father works as an engineer, the son might want to do something totally different; these guys are always the same, they do exactly as their fathers and grandfathers did, the same with the banking boys. Why is that? And how can you be as ruthless as your grandfather was, with the same agenda of depopulation, getting rid of the inferior types, eugenics and breeding a special *master race*, as they called it, to dominate the rest?

Maybe they've already done it and there's a good possibility that's already been done in fact, because they were into eugenics and the gene itself, long before we were told that it was even discovered and you will get traces of that from people in the past, who helped work on certain projects to do with genetics, as far back as the early 1900s.

There's hardly a thing in this world that's really new, as I say when you go into the revolutionary movements and what they were all about, and you find sustainability was all part of it too. As I say, the French Revolution had that contained within it as well. The 'natural aristocracy' as they called themselves, those who had a right to rule because of their superior intellect and they should rule over the rest, the *lessers*, as it's called, that's all come about.

We find too that the idea of democracy was anathema to these people; however, they used democracy to push their agenda, knowing there would never be such a thing, because they already had set up a parallel government and institutional system that bypassed all democracy: first through the League of Nations and then on-going down through the United Nations. They have thousands of NGO (non-governmental organisations) that are fully-funded by the foundations, to push every part of their agenda forward. There is no democratic input whatsoever, the public sit back and watch these groups demanding things from the government, they're lobby groups and the government is only too happy to oblige. Why are they

too happy to oblige? Because they've already signed and they have our whole lifetimes, long before we were born, treaty after treaty after treaty at the United Nations and these treaties, they say in the charter, are binding on all the countries that signed it.

Therefore, a gradual depopulation, up to the year 2050, is to be undertaken and if you watch people today, they're dropping like flies. Doctors are churned out now not knowing the past of medicine and believing that it's quite natural for every one person out of two or maybe every person to die of cancer; that's how they're taught now. They didn't know that forty years ago people were getting taught a completely story of cancers and some cancers were extremely rare, maybe 1 in 100 thousand, 1 in a quarter million would get it; not now.

That's essential if you want to guide the world along a certain path, you make sure the right propaganda and indoctrination is given to every generation coming out of university and it never even dawns on them there's a whole history leading up to their particular science that they're oblivious of, to do with facts, figures and statistics. Therefore they ask no questions, they just diagnose. We have public relations and public opinion makers, which is just propaganda, as Bernays called it at first, he was the guy who altered it to public relations but it was still propaganda. Everything is propaganda, the whole media is full of propaganda and you don't know where the stories come from. We know, for instance, that media and Hollywood work hand-in-glove and many movies come out long before they tell you something from the universities along the lines of science fiction that ends up being fact. That's all predictive programming, we're programmed just like animals to gradually accept there's something new in our surrounding areas, some new thing, some new way of being or living and it's predictive programming.

Everything really is predictive programming, that's how bad it is today; and even before we got the internet, they were talking about the coming information wars. They had already set up all the counters to information on the internet, before they gave it to the public, remember, the military-industrialist complex used the internet for years, all through the Cold War. They weren't going to give us all access to it so that we could do anything of any importance that would change their plans; so, they have massive cyber war teams out there working to stir up trouble amongst anyone that tries to do anything. I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt, we're Cutting Through The Matrix. I've also mentioned the series of videos that you should look at, to see how everyone, really, in the twentieth-century, at least a good part of it, was a product really of master manipulators at the top. Those that understood the sciences of the mind and how the whole consumerist culture was given as part of a strategy, it was part of a strategy. Now of course, the same people are in psychiatry in general too, at the top, and those in marketing and you can't really distinguish the two, because they're both into the same thing: how to make people / motivate people to go in a certain direction, think in a certain way or do a certain thing; that's what it's all about.

Now, they're taking away all the goodies and say: 'well we gave you the consumerism, now we're going in the opposite way, which is conserve, you've got to conserve everything', which is sustainability; and the masses of propaganda

that's been churned out, you just can't keep up with it, it's across the whole planet. It's all to do with public relations, propaganda, as I say, and education, because what they've done in the past - and the communists were very good at noting this and leaving it for us to study - was that they bypass the older people and they go right for the young. The young are very important and Beria, who was the head of the head of the NKVD, in the early Soviet system, the Bolshevik system, at one of the world meetings in the '30s, he talked about this very type of indoctrination. He says: it used to take us 70 years to make major changes to society, by masses of propaganda on the youth and then they grow up to be adults and then they start to change the society in which they lived; they didn't realise they'd all been programmed to do that, because the science was already known. But, he says, now we can do it every five years. They can upgrade them every five years because they were getting children into kindergarten very early, exactly on the same lines as Lord Bertrand Russell said, during his stage as a head of experimental schools in Britain, where he tried all the same things out there. He said we don't have to remove the children from their parents, they always believed they would have to do this, to stop contamination of old ideas and values coming from the parents. He said now we can bypass that: the parents can take care of them economically, it helps the agenda, because the parents would raise and be responsible financially for the child, but he says, basically, that we have their mind, because we have scientific indoctrination, if we can get them at the age of two; that's been accomplished pretty well across the board.

Everything is propaganda or public relations, same thing; and, regardless of all the media reports, we've got to question everything that's put out in front of us and the whys, always ask why this is put out now, why is it put out now? For instance. Because there's always a good reason for it. Here's one to do with the:

Pentagon spending billions on public relations, to sway world opinion

This is from AP [Associated Press] February the 5th 2009, it says:

WASHINGTON-- As it fights two wars, the Pentagon is steadily and dramatically increasing the money it spends to win what it calls "the human terrain" of world public opinion.

World public opinion: do you understand that means that you have no opinion of your own? If somebody's going to win your opinion, that means they are going to give you your opinion, so it's going to spend billions on the human terrain of the world public opinion.

In the process, it is raising concerns of spreading propaganda at home in violation of federal law.

Well, it never stopped them before and it won't stop them now, because no one's going to do anything about it.

An Associated Press investigation found that over the past five years, the money the military spends on winning

Winning?

hearts and minds

Do you realise that's the propaganda, it's to win your heart and mind? Heart first sounds better than mind, doesn't it?

at home and abroad has grown by 63 percent, to at least \$4.7 billion this year, according to Department of Defense budgets and other documents. That's almost as much as it spent on body armor for troops in Iraq and Afghanistan between 2004 and 2006. This year, the Pentagon will employ 27,000 people just for recruitment, advertising and public relations -- almost as many as the total 30,000-person work force in the State Department.

The State Department's a whole other kettle of fish, because it was set up really to help bring in world government, through economic trade etc. To continue this article, it says:

"We have such a massive apparatus selling the military to us, it has become hard to ask questions about whether this is too much money or if it's bloated," says Sheldon Rampton, research director for the Committee on Media and Democracy, which tracks the military's media operations. "As the war has become less popular, they have felt they need to respond to that more." Yet the money spent on media and outreach still comes to only 1 percent of the Pentagon budget, and the military argues it is well-spent on recruitment and the education of foreign and American audiences.

Education? You're getting educated - with propaganda! For foreign and American audiences. Words can change everything eh? A word slipped in here that can change your whole perception and perspective on something.

Military leaders say that at a time when extremist groups run Web sites

You understand that they got the cyber wars up and going and they could already do it before they gave us the Internet. Extremist groups? Now, what is an extremist group? Anyone who has counter opinions to this agenda is an extremist. If you start doing too much about it, they'll label you as a terrorist. That's why they came out with the vague term 'terrorism', but it says these extremist groups run websites

and distribute video, information is as important a weapon as tanks and guns.

That's what they say here; and I'll be back with more of this and some more interesting information on this particular story, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article about the Pentagon and its public relations department which is just, of course, propaganda. That's what it's all about, that's what public relations means. It says here:

On an abandoned Air Force base in San Antonio, Texas, editors for the Joint Hometown News Service point proudly to a dozen clippings on a table as examples of success in getting stories into newspapers.

What readers are not told: Each of these glowing stories was written by Pentagon staff. Under the free service, stories go out with authors' names but not their titles, and do not mention Hometown News anywhere. In 2009,

Hometown News plans to put out 5,400 press releases,

This is what they do, for this year coming up, this is what they plan to put out, under assumed names and so on, for propaganda purposes, all in advance. They plan to out this year 5,400 press releases that we'll all pick up and prattle on about.

3,000 television releases and 1,600 radio interviews, among other work -- 50 percent more than in 2007. The service is just a tiny piece of the Pentagon's rapidly expanding media empire, which is now bigger in size, money and power than many media companies.

In a year long investigation, The Associated Press interviewed more than 100 people and scoured more than 100,000 pages of documents in several budgets to tally the money spent to inform, educate and influence the public in the U.S. and abroad.

The AP included contracts found through the private FedSources database and requests made under the Freedom of Information Act. Actual spending figures are higher because of money in classified budgets. The biggest chunk of funds -- about \$1.6 billion -- goes into recruitment and advertising. Another \$547 million goes into public affairs, which reaches American audiences. And about \$489 million more goes into what is known as psychological operations, which targets foreign audiences.

It says here foreign audiences but it's also used at home because we're global, right? ha-ha! We're global. It says:

Staffing across all these areas costs about \$2.1 billion, as calculated by the number of full-time employees and the military's average cost per service member. That's double the staffing costs for 2003.

There you go, everything is propaganda and that's just the military, that's just the military; and, again, where is your news services that accept these stories that are handed to them by the Pentagon and pop them into the Press for us? Where's their integrity with their news that they're feeding the public, because they know what's it's all about, they know who's handing them these reports. You know, every country's the same, every country is the same. We're all kept in the dark at the bottom, as they win our hearts and our minds mind you, it's all about the winning our hearts and our minds. It sounds awful nice, winning your heart, doesn't it? Like love, isn't it? Quite something.

This next one, is to do with the upcoming agenda where you see so many articles coming out at the same time about this, because it reminds me of the communist system, in fact, it is the Soviet system. Remember: we've merged now with the Soviet system, the big laboratory that they had behind an iron curtain where they could do as they wanted to with millions of people, using psychology and psychiatry on the whole populations and now that we've combined the two together, as the Reece Commission found out. The same techniques are being used; Orwell talked about it too, he saw it coming. BBC News and this is Monday the 9th of February 2009. It says:

Quit call over blocked green ad

A Northern Ireland minister's decision to block a government advertisement

campaign on climate change has led to a call for his removal from office.

Would you ever have thought that someone who challenged this would get kicked out of Parliament? It says:

The advertisements urged people to reduce energy consumption and cut carbon dioxide output.

But Environment Minister Sammy Wilson claimed the adverts were part of an "insidious propaganda campaign".

Well, he's telling the truth; but just like the Soviet Union, it's very dangerous to tell the truth, incredibly dangerous to tell the truth, because if they said the sky was black during the day, you better parrot it was black and everybody had to parrot it was black. So, we're all part of this sustainable development thing and so this guy's said the truth and he's going to get punished for it.

Calling for his removal, the Green Party

The Green Party, see who started up the Green Party, by the way, because it was created from the Soviet Union for the next part of the phase. In fact, Madeleine Albright's granddad was the guy who started it up; he was a pal of Stalin.

Calling for his removal, the Green Party said Mr Wilson made "a laughing stock out of Northern Ireland."

So, if you question it, you're creating a laughing stock out of your country.

Sammy Wilson argued that the Scottish executive had objected and stopped the adverts being broadcast. However, the Scottish executive told the BBC the reason it did not run these adverts was because they are already running their own climate change adverts. He argued that they were "giving people the impression that by turning off the standby light on their TV they could save the world from melting glaciers and being submerged in 40ft of water".

Ha-ha ha-ha!

He said that was "patent nonsense". Mr Wilson said he had written to the UK Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC)

See, we've all got departments of it now, we used to call them weather departments that told us what the weather was, and they were generally wrong, but now it's the Department of Climate Change and Energy.

to say that the advertising campaign Act on CO2 "was not welcome".

He explained that he did not believe in its message that "man-made greenhouse gas emissions are the main cause of climate change" and that the campaign was contrary to his personal views to say that the advertising campaign Act on CO2 "was not welcome". He told DECC: "I do not wish for climate change messages to be promoted by other Whitehall departments here". But Brian Wilson, of the Green Party,

This is your new Commissar.

said the environment minister should be removed from office for refusing to

recognise climate change.

It's like Holocaust denial, they're using the same terminology and technique on the people who say that there's no global warming. The same technique, that's meant to silence you and shame you,

[He] should be removed from office for refusing to recognise climate change.

Meanwhile, Europe's going through one of the deepest freezes it's had for years; a good part of the planet is going through the same thing. But who are you going to believe? Mr Brown and all these guys with sustainable development and climate change and global warming or your freezing feet? Hmm? It says:

"He is a climate change sceptic. We have got to take all measures we can to reduce our carbon footprint. Mr Wilson does not agree with that.

"This is totally incompatible with him being minister for the environment," he said. Friends of the Earth

Another NGO, funded by the big foundations.

NI director John Woods said: "It's bad enough that we have an environment minister who doesn't accept that human activity is driving climate change,

It's amazing too, all the scientists have come out, haven't they, so many of them saying the planets themselves are going through a warming phase because of solar activity. That's hardly caused by us burning our candles here, is it? But fact's got nothing to with it and that's what I was saying earlier. See, in the Soviet system, facts didn't matter; when they told you this is the agenda, you'd better snap to it and believe it. The sky during the day is always black and at night it's turquoise, or whatever they told you, you'd better just believe it and even when they changed it, they'd never point the fact they'd changed, just parrot the new terminology. That's how you survive in this system, because we're living under this Sovietised socialist system, we're living under and the US is going to get all this even more so than anyone else, because Mr. Obama's going to sign everything in; his prime responsibility is to push all this stuff through. All these treaties they've been refusing to sign, he's going to rush it all through and guess what happens when you do that? Prices for everything just go skyrocketing, just like Europe; but, again, the US has got to be submerged into the very system that it helped to create in the first place. And that's what that's about; it's about total, as I say, total takeover of the whole planet and regulation of everyone's, everyone's life, that's what it's about.

It's interesting too, that Mr. Brown in Britain, and elsewhere, are putting up more taxes on air travel. Now, people were sold on the idea, in the early days of this global society, this wonderful utopia, where, under the charters of the UN and the North American Free Trade Agreement and the European charter as well, the amalgamation, we'd all have free-flow of capital and labour. That's what they all say and people think that's great, we can go wherever we want to go but no, no, no, that's not the plan at all. You see, with all the ID-ing and everything else, they want to keep you in your country, unless some corporation across the world needs you because then you're labour and, if they need you, they'll apply for all your passes to come to that country, that's what it's all about. The days of flying to where you wanted to go are about done and Mr. Brown is putting out laws right

now to curb and he said it, to curb air travel. There will be no tourism in the future, there'll be essential passengers only: that's business, government and that kind of thing, that's what it's all about.

That's how the Soviet Union was, again the model State for the planet, because, in the Soviet Union, you couldn't move outside your perimeters of the area you were allocated to, without permission and passes. That's what's coming down here, Agenda 21 for the United Nations, which was the agenda for the twenty-first century, has it in there that people will be moved gradually off the land and into the over-crowded cities and there'll be no private transportation, there'll be public transportation only and there'll be no private ownership of homes either, it will be rental only, because, after all, they've got to find some way of punishing you and they'll withdraw your credits to pay your rent, ultimately, according to Bertrand Russell.

There's nothing new in this agenda, in fact, if you've been studying it for years, it's very boring because you always know what's coming; and you even get jaded with the way they introduce certain parts of it, by the terms they use. It's not even fun anymore, you're still kind of jaded, you're bored, as they push it step by step forward and ahead; quite interesting.

There was a good example of predictive programming came out after the first Loyola University meeting, it was a world science meeting with Bioethicists and the Neuroscientists that deal with brain chips and so on. They've had one every year, apparently, since; and Newt Gingrich is the chairman who kicks it off every year and at the year 2000 meeting, he said that they have the brain chips ready to go, at least a scientist from Japan did, and he said they have regional computers set up that will manage everyone in an area. In other words: it'll programme you as to what you do that day. You could be a plumber one day and an engineer the next, or whatever. He said the only problem they have is to get the public to accept this, therefore they're going to do a massive (again) PR campaign, publicity campaign, which is just propaganda again, to familiarise us with the idea of it and they were going to spend an incredible amount of money on novels. So, they go to the Futurist Society and they get all these novels coming out and there's brain chips in them. They said they'd even use cartoons for the children so they'd like them, they'd want these chips. This is a world science meeting, paid for by the Department of Commerce. That's who paid for it all; and they said that they'd put it into movies and so on, to get us familiar with the idea. Predictive programming; and then, about six months after that meeting, I think it was, they came out with a movie it was called Final Cut, with Robin Williams. In Final Cut, his job is to reclaim the brain chip when a person dies and, basically, go through the memory, the entire memory of each person, and to take out all the good bits and pull out the nasty bits, cut it together like a cutting room in the movies and do the eulogy for the funeral by showing these little clips. Little Tommy at two, little Tommy as you see through his eyes at 5, 10, 20 and so on. That's the idea, and it was all presented in a very nice sort of way in the movie, to an extent.

Now, here's a professor from Australia and this is on, it's called ninemsn. January the 13th 2009, by Josephine Asher. It says here:

Humans 'will be implanted with microchips'

This VeriChip microchip contains identity and health information and is embedded under the skin. (AAP) A protest against microchip implants

planned for Alzheimers patients in Florida. (AAP)

It shows you some photographs of that and it says:

All Australians could be implanted with microchips for tracking and identification within the next two or three generations, a prominent academic says.

He's a bit late you see. You know that professors love to get their name in the paper, they'd really love to be well-known for coming out with some brand new novel idea that generally is not theirs in the first place. This is years after the movie Final Cut came out, he's going to tell you what was in Final Cut, more predictive programming.

Michael G Michael from the University of Wollongong's School of Information Systems and Technology, has coined the term "uberveillance" to describe the emerging trend of all-encompassing surveillance.

All-encompassing, they love encompassing don't they?

"Uberveillance is not on the outside looking down, but on the inside looking out through a microchip that is embedded in our bodies," Dr Michael told ninemsn. Microchips are commonly implanted into animals

Again, see, do you understand, I found out for instance that they've been implanting animals for years but they don't use their readers to find the dogs, if the dogs get lost, they don't bother scanning them, because there's no central database. The idea for microchipping the animals was to get the humans used to the idea of it coming to us. So, now that pillar's been knocked down, now they're going for us and they're doing it to animals so what's wrong with doing it to you? That's the argument - that literally is it.

Microchips are commonly implanted into animals to reveal identification details when scanned and similar devices have been used with Alzheimers patients.

Well, that's why they gave it to the Alzheimer's patients as well, to get us all used to getting it done.

US company VeriChip is already using implantable microchips, which store a 16-digit unique identification number, on humans for medical purposes.

Now, this is the softening up part of the whole story and then they go through high-risk patients and so on. They're really worried about patients, as they want to kill us off, right? And they're cutting medical staff and hospitals back across the board / across the planet, so they really care about our health and I'll go into this right after these messages.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt, we're Cutting Through The Matrix and I'm reading an article here, it's a predictive programming article, to do with what's coming with the brain chip really. After softening us up with, well, after all, they're using it on animals and after all, they're using it on Alzheimer's patients and well after all they're using it on prisoners with identity bracelets and so on, it says:

But Dr Michael said the technology behind uberveillance would eventually lead to a black box small enough to fit on a tiny microchip and implanted in our bodies. This could also allow someone to be located in an emergency or for the identification of corpses after a large scale disaster or terrorist attack.

So terrorism's going to cover everything, understand they can do anything they want to us, under the guise of terrorism.

"This black box will then be a witness to our actual movements, words

Ha-ha

- perhaps even our thoughts - and play a similar role to the black box placed in an aircraft," he said. He also predicted that microchip implants and their infrastructure could eliminate the need for e-passports, e-tags, and secure ID cards. "Microchipping I think will eventually become compulsory in the context of identification within the frame of national security," he said.

Terrorism and national security, well we've got to give you your chip, it won't hurt a bit sir. Nice little hammer and he pops it in your head in a little tube, bang, little pop, that's all there is to it sir, you're one of ours now.

Although uberveillance was only in its early phases,

Which is a big joke.

Dr Michael's wife, Katina Michael - a senior lecturer from UOW's School of Information Systems and Technology - said the ability to track and identify any individual was already possible.

Well, of course it is, they were doing experiments with people back in the '60s, putting chips in them and tracking them, they also found out they could put it in the spinal area. People ended up in mental hospitals with this, hearing voices in their heads, feeling they were being controlled from somewhere else and locked up as schizophrenics. We live in a wonderful world of caring medical professionals, don't we?

"Anyone with a mobile phone can be tracked to 15m now," she said, pointing out that most mobile phone handsets now contained GPS receivers and radio frequency identification (RFID) readers. "The worst scenario is the absolute loss of human rights," she said.

Really? No kidding. I can see why she's a professor, or she's a doctor eh?

"The worst scenario is the absolute loss of human rights,"

Well, that's no big deal is it? How can you help but not lose your human rights? Do you think they're going to put something in you that you can control? Who's going to be controlling it? On whose behalf? And, believe you me, if they can get down to your thoughts, which I'm sure they can do and probably could do for a long time, they'll simply call you; in fact they'll just motivate you to come in. You'll walk into you nearest police station, your local one, you won't know why you'll walk in there, you'll be made to walk in there for a few adjustments. That's what's coming up; but, as I say, this guy is just copying exactly what was out years ago in the movie called Final Cut years ago, by Robin Williams; that was the one that kicked off the

predictive programming, after they had the meeting at Loyola University and said they'd put it in movies, novels and so on. Everything's like this, predictive programming.

Well, that's it for tonight, from Ontario Canada. From Hamish and myself, it's goodnight and: may your God or your gods go with you.

Transcribed by Bill Scott.

Articles:

["Pentagon Spending Billions on PR to Sway World Opinion" Associated Press \(foxnews.com\) - Feb. 5, 2009.](#)

["Quit call over blocked green ad" \(news.bbc.co.uk\) - Feb 9, 2009.](#)

["Humans 'will be implanted with microchips' " by Josephine Asher \(news.ninemsn.com.au\) - Jan. 30, 2009.](#)

["Al Gore Speaks to Kids: Don't listen to your parents" \(youtube.com\).](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|
| <u>BOOKS</u> | "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 | Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português) |
| <u>CDs</u> | Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) | & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc) |
| <u>DVDs</u> | "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME" | |